

March 4, 2020

202000347

To:

Mayor and Members of City Council

From:

Patrick A. Duhaney, City Manage

Subject:

Police Department Shooting Range - Reduction of Noise

REFERENCE DOCUMENT #201901907

On January 08, 2020 the Law and Public Safety Committee referred the following for a report:

MOTION, submitted by Vice Mayor Smitherman, WE MOVE that the administration research the cost of enclosing the City of Cincinnati Police Department's shooting range to reduce noise.

BACKGROUND

On December 9, 2019, the Administration provided a report to Council (Document #201901806) that summarized present use, spatial needs, and the feasibility of relocating the Cincinnati Police Target Range, currently located in Lincoln Heights. Additionally, the Administration presented before the Law and Public Safety Committee on estimated costs (Document #201901807). Following the Administration's presentation, Lincoln Heights Mayor Ruby Kinsey Mumphrey presented before the Committee regarding noise complaints in the area (Document #201901835). In response to these accounts, the Committee amended the Motion on the floor, requesting that the Administration research the cost of enclosing the range and other noise reduction solutions (Document #201901907).

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

On January 27, 2020, in response to the Motion, the Administration released a Request for Information (RFI). Of 627 general construction and acoustics companies notified, one (1) formal response was received. The response, as provided by Megen Construction, includes nine (9) options for consideration. The RFI and the complete response, as submitted by Megen Construction, are attached.

The nine (9) options, in summary, are as follows:

Option	Description	Estimates	Timetable
•	- Concrete Wall		
A1	Increase the limits of the concrete barrier that provides partial separation on the west side of the target range.	\$450,000 to \$550,000	6 to 8 months
	Extend the concrete barrier along the west side of the target range.		•
A2	Include a concrete wall along the south. The areas to the	\$1,100,000 to	8 to 10
· . -	west and south are those most populated by the public.	\$1,320,000	months
	The new wall could be constructed of poured-in-place	' ' '	
	concrete, like the existing walls, or a precast concrete		
	wall may also be a viable solution. The new wall(s) should		
	be of similar height (30-35 feet) to the existing adjacent		
	walls. This recommendation does not take into effect any		
	noise travel that would reflect toward the sky and find its		
	way to the surrounding neighborhoods.		
Option B	- Tree Line		
B1-B3	Option B proposes a more organic solution, creating	\$25,000 to \$55,000	4 to 7
Zones	zones of dense coniferous trees along the open		months
	perimeter of the target range. This option uses trees of		
	various shapes and sizes in a staggered pattern to		}
	help mitigate any noise that travels away from the gun		
	location. The plantings are grouped in three zones,		
	allowing for flexibility when evaluating the best solution		
	for noise reduction on the site.		
Option C	– Partial Shelter		
С	A three-sided pre-engineered metal structure to reduce	\$810,000 to \$975,000	12 to 15
	the noise disturbance and provide protection against		months
	mild weather elements, while reducing some of the noise		
	travel. Baffles will be added at the ceiling of the		
	structure, and the walls are to be insulated. Baffles and		
	insulation will be exposed to the weather and need to be		
	exterior rated. This solution may require some minimal		
	lighting in the shed structure. This solution also may		
	require a change in operations. The intent would be for		
	the trainee to stand under the shed structure, and not		
	have to move back/forth to stand at appropriate		
	distances from the target. Multiple, movable targets		
	would be implored to practice firing at the proper		
	distances.		
	– Full Shelter		
D1	Build a fully enclosed pre-engineered metal building	\$2,270,000 to	14 to 16
	providing noise reduction and protection against weather	\$2,715,000	months
	elements. Option D1 is designed to use the existing		
	concrete walls on the north and east side of the target		
	range for the structure. A pre-engineered metal building		
	will be supported off the north wall at a high point, with		
	a slope to a low point along the south edge of the range.		
	Baffles will be added to the ceiling of the structure and		

D2	insulated wall panels will complete the enclosure. This plan does not provide a fire suppression system, but a fire alarm system is to be included. The fully enclosed building will also need to have power, lighting, ventilation, and cooling. This option will also require an operational lead recovery system. Option D2 addresses the existing pre-engineered metal structure on site. At this location, it is intended to utilize the existing structure and roof, but add insulated wall panels on the west, south, and east sides to mitigate the noise. The north end houses the firing targets along the existing concrete wall. By enclosing this structure, it is also planned to provide a fire alarm, power, lighting, ventilation, cooling and lead recovery system.	\$800,000 to \$960,000	10 to 12 months
D3	Option D3 proposes an extension of the existing preengineered metal structure, again with insulated wall panels on the west, south, and east sides. The new roof should match the existing, and have sound baffles on the ceiling.	\$975,000 to \$1,170,000	10 to 12 months

Attachments

cc: Eliot Isaac, Chief of Police, Cincinnati Police Department