## Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	202100295 Versio	<b>n:</b> 1				
Туре:	Motion	Status:	Adopted			
File created:	1/26/2021	In control:	Cincinnati City Council			
On agenda:	2/3/2021	Final action:	2/3/2021			
Enactment date	:	Enactment #:				
Title:	MOTION, submitted by Councilmember Landsman, Last year, the City of Cincinnati created a financial forgiveness program for Greater Cincinnati Waterworks' low-income customers. This project - enabled by our City's "Home Rule" - gave direct relief to struggling families amidst the Coronavirus pandemic. The Metropolitan Sewer District of Greater Cincinnati (MSD), however, has split possession; Hamilton County owns MSD, the City of Cincinnati runs it. Due to this arrangement, the City is barred from exploring any meaningful relief programs for low-income rate-payers, and the County has to spend millions on outside consultants and lawyers to perform its role in the MSD relationship. WE MOVE that the Administration conduct a report on the potential savings for low-income ratepayers if MSD were able to leverage the City's Home Rule advantage, as well as the savings associated with the aforementioned millions in outside consultants and lawyers with an updated relationship between the City and County. By conducting such a report, we could better determine the positive impacts of an updated partnership between the City and County.					
Sponsors:	Greg Landsman					

Indexes:

## Code sections:

## Attachments: 1. Motion\_MSD Home Rule (1)

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
2/3/2021	1	Cincinnati City Council	Adopted	Pass
2/1/2021	1	Budget and Finance Committee	Adopt	Pass
1/27/2021	1	Cincinnati City Council	Referred to Budget & Finance Committee	

**MOTION**, submitted by Councilmember Landsman, Last year, the City of Cincinnati created a financial forgiveness program for Greater Cincinnati Waterworks' low-income customers. This project - enabled by our City's "Home Rule" - gave direct relief to struggling families amidst the Coronavirus pandemic. The Metropolitan Sewer District of Greater Cincinnati (MSD), however, has split possession; Hamilton County owns MSD, the City of Cincinnati runs it. Due to this arrangement, the City is barred from exploring any meaningful relief programs for low-income rate-payers, and the County has to spend millions on outside consultants and lawyers to perform its role in the MSD relationship. **WE MOVE** that the Administration conduct a report on the potential savings for low-income ratepayers if MSD were able to leverage the City's Home Rule advantage, as well as the savings associated with the aforementioned millions in outside consultants and lawyers with an updated relationship between the City and County. By conducting such a report, we could better determine the positive impacts of an updated partnership between the City and County.

ADOPT